This article was downloaded by: On: 21 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Naidu, S. Venkata , John, K. , Brahmam, P. Veera and Challa, Pavan Kumar(2004) 'Ultrasonic Studies of Poly(vinyl acetate) Solution in Dimethylformamide', International Journal of Polymer Analysis and Characterization, 9:  $5,351 - 359$ 

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10236660490935772 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10236660490935772>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



## Ultrasonic Studies of Poly(vinyl acetate) Solution in Dimethylformamide

## S. Venkata Naidu, K. John, and P. Veera Brahmam

Department of Polymer Science and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur, India

## Pavan Kumar Challa

Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-Rolla, Rolla, Missouri, USA

Acoustical parameters such as adiabatic compressibility, specific acoustic impedance, relaxation strength, space-filling factor, and molecular ratio are evaluated for the solutions of poly(vinyl acetate) in dimethylformamide by measuring viscosity, ultrasonic velocity, refractive index, and density at different temperatures,  $(30^{\circ}, 35^{\circ}, 40^{\circ},$  and 45 C) and for different concentrations of poly(vinyl acetate) in solution. The results are discussed based on the solute and solvent interactions.

Keywords: Acoustic parameters; Poly(vinyl acetate); Viscosity; Ultrasonic velocity; Refractive index; Density

## INTRODUCTION

Many techniques such as X-ray diffraction, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), infrared (IR), and ultraviolet (UV) spectroscopy are used to investigate polymer structure. Acoustical parameters can also be used as a means to study the degree of solute–solvent interactions in polymer solutions and molecular structure. Acoustical properties are much

Address correspondence to S. Venkata Naidu, Department of Polymer Science and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur-515 003, Andhra Pradesh, India. E-mail: challaninaidu@yahoo.com

simpler and more accurate to measure than absorption properties and can be performed at faster rates.

Ultrasonic absorption and dispersion in polymer solution $[1-3]$  and in solid polymer<sup>[4]</sup> have been the subject of extensive research activity in recent years to study the intermolecular attraction in solute-solvent systems. Schaaffs<sup>[5]</sup> and Sette<sup>[6,7]</sup> summarized several empirical and semi-empirical relations between ultrasonic velocity and other acoustic parameters. Reddy and Singh<sup>[8]</sup> studied acoustical properties of poly (vinyl pyrrolidone) in aqueous solutions at different temperatures and concentrations. Rajulu et al.<sup>[9]</sup> and Naidu et al.<sup>[10]</sup> carried out studies on acoustic properties of poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) in water and dimethylformamide and studied the variation of adiabatic compressibility with temperature. Recently, John et al.<sup>[11]</sup> studied the ultrasonic measurements of solution blends of poly(vinyl acetate) with poly(methyl methacrylate) and poly(vinyl chloride). As poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAc) is a very important polymer due to its wide variety of applications, the authors measured the ultrasonic velocity, density, viscosity, and refractive index for this polymer in dimethylformamide (DMF) at various concentrations and temperatures to observe the variation of adiabatic compressibility ( $\beta_{ad}$ ), specific acoustic impedance  $(Z)$ , and relaxation strength  $(r)$  with concentration at different temperatures and the variation of molecular ratio  $(N_o/N_s)$  with space-filling factor (r') and  $\beta_{ad}$  at different temperatures.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The ultrasonic velocities have been measured by employing an ultrasonic interferometer (Mittal Enterprises, New Delhi). The ultrasonic cell has a double-wall jacket, and thermostated water is circulated through it from a thermoset with thermal stability of  $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$ C. The experimental frequency is 2 MHz and the velocity measurements have an accuracy better than  $\pm 0.5\%$ . The density, viscosity, and refractive index measurements have been made using a pycnometer, an Ostwald viscometer, and an Abbe refractometer respectively, with accuracies of  $\pm 0.02\%$ ,  $\pm 0.2\%$ , and  $\pm 0.2\%$ , respectively. The polymer sample used is poly (vinyl acetate) (SD, India) having an average molecular weight, M, of 150,000.

The adiabatic compressibility is based on the relationship:

$$
\beta_{\rm ad} = \frac{1}{v^2 \rho} \tag{1}
$$

where v is the ultrasonic velocity and  $\rho$  is the density.

The space-filling factor,  $r'$ , is given by the relationship:

$$
r' = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{V}}\tag{2}
$$

Downloaded At: 16:14 21 January 2011 Downloaded At: 16:14 21 January 2011

 $Zg/cm^2/S^2$  $\times 10^3$  r'  $r = \frac{g}{cm^2}}/S^2$ 135331.9 136758.8 137882.6 37536.4 41337.6 39151.5 40101.6 38621.8 39490.6 40549.6 141738.6 142753.7 39045.7 40303.5 34327.7 35463.2 36459.1 37556.4 39469.2 30 DMF 11.71 1465 0.9388 1.4165 4.9630 — — 0.1616 137536.4 1.366 13.29 1482 0.9389 1.4184 4.8491 1.8119 0.25221 0.1420 139151.5 2.672 15.06 1491 0.9396 1.4186 4.7871 3.6288 0.25232 0.1316 140101.6 41627.5 5.344 18.42 1506 0.9404 1.4188 4.6884 7.2828 0.25242 0.1140 141627.5 43800.2 13.360 29.57 1526 0.9423 1.4191 4.5570 18.4062 0.25258 0.0903 143800.2 36371.3 35 DMF 10.72 1456 0.9366 1.4141 5.0363 — — 0.1719 136371.3 1.366 12.20 1478 0.9367 1.4163 4.8808 1.8161 0.25110 0.1466 138621.8 2.672 13.92 1488 0.9374 1.4168 4.8178 3.6374 0.25137 0.1351 139490.6 5.344 16.89 1498 0.9382 1.4172 4.7496 7.2998 0.25158 0.1234 140549.6 10.688 20.1105 14.03 10.03 1.41738.6<br>0.688 10.2519 0.03 1509 0.9392 14.0754 17.584 17.71.71.71.71.71 13.360 26.63 1517 0.9410 1.4184 4.6177 18.4324 0.25221 0.1010 142753.7 40 DMF 9.42 1448 0.9346 1.4112 5.1030 — — 0.1809 135331.9 1.366 11.24 1463 0.9347 1.4134 4.9980 1.8200 0.24956 0.1639 136758.8 2.672 12.77 1474 0.9354 1.4138 4.9203 3.6453 0.24978 0.1512 137882.6 5.344 15.61 1485 0.9363 1.4141 4.8430 7.3149 0.24993 0.1385 139045.7 10.688 18.69 1497 0.9372 1.4146 4.7611 14.7426 0.25020 0.1246 140303.5 41565.1 45 DMF 8.88 1440 0.9328 1.4105 5.1697 — — 0.1900 134327.7 1.366 9.11 1452 0.9329 1.4126 5.0840 1.8236 0.24914 0.1764 135463.2 5.344 14.17 1471 0.9351 1.4135 4.9420 7.3244 0.24962 0.1547 137556.4 10.688 10.00 17.00 14.761 14.814 14.814 14.814 14.814 14.814 14.814 14.81484 14.81 13.360 22.80 1508 0.9392 1.4146 4.6918 18.5082 0.25020 0.1116 141337.6 42923.1 10.688 22.22 1518 0.9415 1.4190 4.6092 14.6743 0.25253 0.0998 142923.1 13.360 24.84 1509 0.9381 1.4152 4.6811 18.4904 0.25052 0.1105 141565.1 2.672 11.36 1461 0.9340 1.4131 5.0158 3.6508 0.24940 0.1662 136459.1 0.1616 0.1420 1316 0.1140 0998 0.903 0.1719 0.1466 0.1234 0.1105 0.1010 0.1809 0.1639 0.1512 1.1385 0.1246 0.1105 0.1900 0.1764 0.1662  $0.1547$ 0.1116 0.1351 0.1327  $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 0.25195 0.24962 0.24956 0.24978 0.24993 0.25052 0.24940 0.25232 0.25253 0.25258 0.25110 0.25137 0.25158 0.25020 1.24914 1.24983 0.25221 0.25242 0.25221 0.25020  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\tilde{f}$  $\rm (N_{o}/N_{s})$ 1.8119 3.6288<br>7.2828<br>4.6743 3.6374 7.3149<br>4.7426 4.7618 8.5082 8.4062 1.8161 7.2998 4.7098  $8.4324$ 1.8200 3.6453 8.4904 1.8236 3.6508 7.3244  $\times$   $10^3$  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$  $cm^2/dyne$  $\beta_{\rm ad} \times 10^{11}$ 4.6754 5.1030 1.9203 5.1697 .6918 4.9630 .8491 .6884 .6092 .5570 5.0363 1.8808 4.8178 4.7496 4.6177 4.9980 1.8430 1.7611 1.6811 1.0840 5.0158 9420 .7871 .8121 .4165 4184 4186 .4188 4190 4191 4141 .4163 .4168 4172 4179 .4184 4112 4134 .4138 .4141  $4146$  $.4152$ .4105 4126 4131 4135 4139 .4146  $n_{\rm D}$  $g/cm^3$  n<sub>D</sub> 0.9374 0.9410 0.9347 0.9328 0.9415 0.9366 0.9367 0.9382 0.9392 0.9346 0.9354 0.9363 0.9372 0.9329 0.9360 0.9388 0.9389 0.9396 0.9404 0.9423 0.9381 0.9340 0.9351 0.9392 r 465<br>482 506 518 526 456 478 488 498 509 517 448 463 474 485 497 509 440 452 490 508 m/s  $491$ 461 471  $\mathord{\hspace{1pt}\text{--}\hspace{1pt}}$  $\sim$ mol/L  $[n] \times 10^4$  P  $[\eta] \times 10^4$ 29.57 6.89 20.03 9.42  $11.24$ <br> $12.77$ 18.69 8.88  $13.29$ 5.06  $.8.42$  $22.22$  $0.72$  $12.20$ 13.92 26.63  $.5.61$ 24.84  $1.36$ 4.17  $7.00$  $2.80$  $11.71$  $9.11$  $\times 10^5$  $mol/L$ 1.366 0.688 3.360 1.366 2.672 5.344 0.688 3.360 **DMF** 1.366<br>2.672 5.344 0.688 3.360 **DMF** 1.366 2.672 5.344 **DMF** 2.672 5.344 **DMF** 0.688 3.360  $\cup$  $T^{\circ}C$  $30<sup>°</sup>$  $\Theta$ 35  $45$ 353

TABLE I Acoustical parameters of poly(vinyl acetate) in dimethylformamide TABLE I Acoustical parameters of poly(vinyl acetate) in dimethylformamide

where B is the effective volume occupied by molecules per mole and V is the molecular volume. According to Gerecze<sup>[12]</sup>, r' is related to  $n_D$ , the refractive index (sodium D line), by the equation:

$$
r' = \frac{n_{\rm D}^2 - 1}{n_{\rm D}^2 + 2} \tag{3}
$$

The relation between the ultrasonic velocity,  $v$ , and the space-filling factor,  $r'$ , is given by the Schaaffs<sup>[5]</sup> formula:

 $v = U r'$ 

where U represents the sound velocity when the entire volume of space is filled with molecules.

The specific acoustic impedance  $(Z)$  and the relaxation strength  $(r)$  are given by the following formulas:

$$
Z = \rho v \tag{4}
$$



FIGURE 1 Variation of adiabatic compressibility with concentration of solutions of PVAc in DMF at different temperatures.

where  $\rho$  is the density and v is the ultrasonic velocity.

$$
r = 1 - \frac{v^2}{v_0^2} \tag{5}
$$

where v is the ultrasonic velocity and  $v<sub>o</sub>$  is a constant having a value of  $1600 \,\mathrm{m/s}$ .

In the solutions used in the experiments, the number of moles of solvent and vinyl acetate is determined using the relation:

$$
(N_o/N_s) = \frac{N(M/M_o)}{N_s}
$$
\n<sup>(6)</sup>

where  $N_0$  is the number of vinyl acetate moles, N is the number of poly(vinyl acetate) moles, and  $N_s$  is the number of dimethylformamide moles per unit volume of solution given by:

$$
N_s = C_s V_s \tag{7}
$$



FIGURE 2 Variation of specific acoustic impedance with concentration of solutions of PVAc in DMF at different temperatures.

where  $C_s$  is the dimethylformamide molecular concentration in moles per unit volume of dimethylformamide and  $V_s$  is the volume of dimethylformamide in the solutions. Its value<sup>[13]</sup> is:

$$
V_s = \frac{\rho - M C}{\rho_s} V
$$
 (8)

where  $\rho$  is the density of solution,  $\rho_s$  is the density of dimethylformamide, M is the molecular weight of the solute, and C is the molecular concentration in  $mol/L$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The measured viscosity, density, velocity, and the index of refraction of poly(vinyl acetate) in dimethylformamide as functions of concentration and temperature are presented in Table I. Adiabatic compressibilities have been calculated using velocity and density data and are also presented in



FIGURE 3 Variation of relaxation strength with concentration of solutions of PVAc in DMF at different temperatures.

the same table. Table I also contains the values of space filling factor  $r'$ calculated using Equation (3). The variation of  $\beta_{ad}$ , Z, and r with concentration at different temperatures is shown in Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3 respectively. The variation of molecular ratio with r' and  $\beta_{ad}$  at different temperatures is shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively.

From the values recorded in Table I, it is clear that the ultrasonic velocity  $(v)$  in solutions of poly(vinyl acetate) in dimethylformamide gradually increases with increase in concentration and decreases with increase in temperature. This behavior is due to strengthening of intermolecular forces with increase in concentration and weakening of molecular forces with increase of temperature. The formation of molecular aggregates reduces the compressibility of the medium. This can be observed from Figure 1 where adiabatic compressibility  $(\beta_{ad})$  for poly(vinyl acetate) solution in dimethyl formamide is plotted against concentration of the solution. It is also observed from the plot that there is a gradual increase of  $\beta_{\text{ad}}$  with increase in temperature, which is due to weakening of intermolecular forces with the supply of heat energy.



FIGURE 4 Variation of molecular ratio with space-filling factor at different temperatures for solutions of PVAc in DMF.



FIGURE 5 Variation of molecular ratio with adiabatic compressibility at different temperatures for solutions of PVAc in DMF.

The increase of specific acoustic impedance (Z) with concentration (Figure 2) is attributed to increase of ultrasonic velocity with concentration. Z shows a decrease with increase in temperature due to decrease in ultrasonic velocity with increase in temperature. This kind of behavior is due to association of molecules and formation of molecular aggregates<sup>[14,15]</sup>. Figure 3 shows how the relaxation strength (r) varies with concentration of the polymer in the solution. The relaxation strength decreases with increase in concentration at a given temperature. This may be interpreted in terms of increase in intermolecular forces due to increase in concentration and subsequent decrease in relaxation of the molecules. The increase in relaxation strength with temperature is due to the supply of thermal energy.

From Figure 4, it is evident that space-filling factor  $(r')$  at a given temperature increases with increase in the molecular ratio  $(N_o/N_s)$ . Adiabatic compressibility  $(\beta_{ad})$  shows a decreasing trend with increase of molecular ratio  $(N_o/N_s)$  at a given temperature (Figure 5). This is

because a higher number of solute molecules in solution decreases compressibility in the medium.

In all the figures, the curves at four temperatures are nonlinear, which confirms the hypothesis of association of molecules and strong solutesolvent interactions.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] Dunn, F., P. D. Edmundus, and W. J. Fry. (1969). Biological Engineering. New York: McGraw Hill.
- [2] Matheson, A. J. (1971). *Molecular Acoustics*. London: Wiley Interscience.
- [3] Pethric, R. A. (1973). Macromol. Chem. C9, 91.
- [4] Philips, D. W. and R. A. Pethric,  $(1977/78)$ . *Macromol. Sci.* **C16**, 1.
- [5] Schaaffs, W. (1968). Molecularkustik. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- [6] Sette, D. (1968). In Study of Simple Liquids by Ultrasonic Methods, ed. H. N. V. Temperley. Amsterdam: North Holland.
- [7] Sette, D. (1961). In Handbuch der Physik, ed. S. Flugge, Vol.XI/1. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- [8] Reddy, G. V. and R. P. Singh. (1980). Acoustica 46, 342.
- [9] Rajulu, A. V., S. V. Naidu, and K. C. Rao. (1984). Acoustica 55, 60.
- [10] Naidu, S. V., A. V. Rajulu, and K. C. Rao. (1989). J. Polym. Mater. 6, 293.
- [11] John, K., G. J. Reddy, and S. V. Naidu. (2003). *Int. J. Polym. Anal. Charact.* **8**, 295.
- [12] Gerecze, N. G. (1977). Acoustica 38, 51.
- [13] Vigoureux, P. (1951). *Ultrasonics*. New York: John Wiley.
- [14] Johri, G. K. and R. C. Misra. (1985). Acoustica 57, 292.
- [15] Rao, K. C., S. V. Naidu, and A. V. Rajulu. (1990). Eur. Polym. J. 26, 657.